This article was downloaded by: On: *28 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Acree Jr., William E.(1986) 'Excess Isentropic Compressibilities of Binary Mixtures of N, N-Dimethylformamide with n-Alcohols at 303.1 5 K', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 16: 2, 113 – 116 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/00319108608078507 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319108608078507

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doese should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Phys. Chem. Liq., 1986, Vol. 16, pp. 113-116 0031-9104/86/1602-0113\$18.50/0 © 1986 Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc. Printed in the United Kingdom

Excess Isentropic Compressibilities of Binary Mixtures of *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide with *n*-Alcohols at 303.15 K

WILLIAM E. ACREE, Jr.

Department of Chemistry, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242 (USA)

(Received March 24, 1986)

An equation is given for the isentropic compressibility of an ideal binary solution and is used to calculate excess isentropic compressibilities of binary mixtures of N,N-dimethylformamide with methanol, 1-propanol, 1-butanol, 1-pentanol and 1-hexanol. The results of these calculations indicate that all five binary systems exhibit negative deviations from ideality contrary to the earlier calculations of Rao and Reddy.

KEYWORDS isentropic compressibility, ideal solution, binary mixtures of N,N-dimethylformamide and n-alcohols.

In a recent publication appearing in this journal, Rao and Reddy¹ calculated the excess isentropic compressibilities of binary N,N-dimethylformamide + n-alcohol mixtures as

$$K_s^{\rm ex} = K_s - K_s^{\rm ideal} \tag{1}$$

the difference between the observed isentropic compressibility and that of an ideal solution, K_s^{ideal} . The isentropic compressibility of the ideal solution was represented as

$$K_s^{\text{ideal}} = X_1 K_{s,1}^0 + X_2 K_{s,2}^0 \tag{2}$$

the mole fraction average of the isentropic compressibilities of the pure liquids $K_{s,i}^0$. While many thermodynamic and physical properties of an ideal

W. E. ACREE JR.

solution are correctly described by mole fraction averages, the isentropic compressibility is not one of these properties.

The isentropic compressibility of any solution is related to the isothermal compressibility K_t by

$$K_s = K_t (C_v / C_p) \tag{3}$$

$$K_{\rm s} = -(\partial \ln V/\partial P)_{\rm s} \tag{4}$$

$$K_t = -(\partial \ln V / \partial P)_T \tag{5}$$

the ratio of heat capacities at constant volume and pressure, which are themselves related through

$$C_p - C_v = \alpha^2 V T / K_t \tag{6}$$

the coefficient of thermal expansion, $\alpha = (\partial \ln V/\partial T)_p$. The isothermal compressibility of an ideal binary solution can easily be shown to equal the volume fraction (ϕ_i) average of the isothermal compressibilities of the two pure liquids

$$K_t^{\text{ideal}} = \phi_1 K_{t,1}^0 + \phi_2 K_{t,2}^0 \tag{7}$$

and the isobaric heat capacity to equal the mole fraction average of the heat capacities of the pure liquids

$$C_p^{\text{ideal}} = X_1 C_{p,1}^0 + X_2 C_{p,2}^0 \tag{8}$$

Combination of Eqs 3-8 gives the following expression for the isentropic compressibility of an ideal binary solution

$$K_{s}^{\text{ideal}} = \phi_{1} \{ K_{s,1}^{0} + TV_{1}^{0}(\alpha_{1}^{0})^{2}/C_{p,1}^{0} \} + \phi_{2} \{ K_{s,2}^{0} + TV_{2}^{0}(\alpha_{2}^{0})^{2}/C_{p,2}^{0} \}$$
$$- T[X_{1}V_{1}^{0} + X_{2}V_{2}^{0}][\phi_{1}\alpha_{1}^{0} + \phi_{2}\alpha_{2}^{0}]^{2}/[X_{1}C_{p,1}^{0} + X_{2}C_{p,2}^{0}]$$
(9)

which no way resembles a mole fraction average of the individual $K_{s,i}^{0}$, except in the very special case when the molar volumes, isobaric heat capacities, and isobaric thermal expansivities of both components are identical. It should be noted that Eq. 9 is identical with equations derived by Bertrand and Smith² and Benson and Kiyohara.^{3,4}

In Table I, I compare the excess isentropic compressibilities as calculated by Rao and Reddy,¹ using Eqs 1 and 2, to those values calculated from Eqs 1 and 9 for binary mixtures of N,N-dimethylformamide with methanol, 1propanol, 1-butanol, 1-pentanol and 1-hexanol. Numerical values of the isobaric heat capacities and thermal expansivities, at or near 303.15 K, were taken from the literature.⁴⁻⁶ Most noticeable in this comparison is the fact that all five binary systems exhibit negative deviations from ideality contrary to the earlier calculations of Rao and Reddy.

| IABLE I | ABLE | I |
|---------|------|---|
|---------|------|---|

Excess isentropic compressibilities of binary N,N-dimethylformamide + n-alcohol systems

| | | K_s^{ex} (T | K_s^{ex} (TPa ⁻¹) | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| $X_{\rm DMF}$ | K_s (TPa ⁻¹) | Eqs 1 and 2 | Eqs 1 and 9 | | |
| DMF + Methanol | | | | | |
| 0.0773 | 917 | -80 | - 48 | | |
| 0.2072 | 779 | - 147 | -80 | | |
| 0.3984 | 648 | - 174 | - 89 | | |
| 0.4461 | 625 | - 171 | - 85 | | |
| 0.5176 | 600 | - 157 | - 74 | | |
| 0.5860 | 573 | - 146 | - 69 | | |
| 0.6935 | 550 | - 111 | - 47 | | |
| 0.7376 | 537 | -100 | - 43 | | |
| 0.8174 | 520 | - 73 | - 32 | | |
| DMF + 1-Propanol | | | | | |
| 0.1492 | 806 | -26 | - 25 | | |
| 0.1771 | 791 | - 30 | - 28 | | |
| 0.3107 | 717 | - 51 | - 48 | | |
| 0.3878 | 682 | - 55 | - 53 | | |
| 0.4898 | 640 | - 57 | - 54 | | |
| 0.5904 | 615 | -42 | - 39 | | |
| 0.6929 | 574 | - 42 | 40 | | |
| 0.7704 | 552 | - 33 | - 32 | | |
| | DMF - | + 1-Butanol | | | |
| 0.1349 | 746 | - 7 | - 13 | | |
| 0.2148 | 720 | - 10 | - 18 | | |
| 0.3711 | 663 | -20 | - 32 | | |
| 0.4450 | 638 | -22 | - 37 | | |
| 0.5684 | 600 | - 24 | - 37 | | |
| 0.6484 | 578 | -21 | - 34 | | |
| 0.7505 | 553 | - 15 | - 27 | | |
| 0.8261 | 536 | - 10 | - 18 | | |
| 0.8581 | 527 | - 9 | - 17 | | |
| DMF + 1-Pentanol | | | | | |
| 0.1928 | 719 | 4 | -10 | | |
| 0.2645 | 703 | 6 | - 10 | | |
| 0.3416 | 679 | 1 | - 16 | | |
| 0.4478 | 000 | 8 | -16 | | |
| 0.5154 | 636 | 10 | - 15 | | |
| 0.0484 | 597 | 8 | - 17 | | |
| 0.7005 | 584 | 8 | 14 | | |
| 0.8938 | 520 DME | 4 | - / | | |
| 0 1749 | DMF - | + 1-nexanol | 22 | | |
| 0.1748 | 094 | — / F | - 25 | | |
| 0.2211 | 084 | - 5 | - 24 | | |
| 0.3332 | 629 | 3 | - 24 | | |
| 0.4374 | 038 679 | ð 10 | -23 | | |
| 0.5029 | 020 580 | 10 | - 22 | | |
| 0.0019 | 507 581 | 15 | - 13 | | |
| 0.7044 | 556 | 14 | - 15 | | |
| 0.0003 | 529 | 14 | - 3 | | |
| 0.7041 | J_J | 11 | = 5 | | |

References

- 1. K. P. Rao and K. S. Reddy, Phys. Chem. Liq., 15, 147 (1985).
- 2. G. L. Bertrand, and L. E. Smith, J. Phys. Chem., 74, 4171 (1970).
- 3. G. C. Benson and O. Kiyohara, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 11, 1061 (1979).
- 4. O. Kiyohara and G. C. Benson, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 11, 861 (1979).
- 5. R. Gopal and S. A. Rizvi, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 43, 179 (1966).
- C. de Visser, G. Perron, J. E. Desnoyers, W. J. M. Heuvelsland and G. Somsen, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 22, 74 (1977).